SDMS US EPA REGION V -1

SOME IMAGES WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT MAY BE ILLEGIBLE DUE TO BAD SOURCE DOCUMENTS.

156117 Crom | Corn. Emeric Enrac | 308:1052-5781 | Le.

ro:

TOR Benz

FROM:

JE Buckley July

DATE:

June 5, 1990

RB:

Monsanto Proposal
Dead Creek - Sector B

CONFIDENTIAL 92-CV-204-WDS

Based on a review of the background data and analytical data submitted, I offer the following observations:

- 1. PCB contamination is evident throughout the creek bed as found in 3 separate sampling events.
- 2. Heavy metal concentrations in many cases exceed EP Tox or TC "calculated" thresholds.
- 3. Other halogenated organic compounds present in soil besides PCB's include:

(X125, P1, SD1) Dichlorobenzenes (X125, Michlorophenols SD1) Trichlorobenze (X125, P1, SD1, SD2) (SO7, SO10, RO12) ethylene Chloride (SD1, SD3) pentachlorophenol (SD1) Tetrachiorbenzenes (SD1) , Chlorophenol exachlorobensene (SD2) ,3,7,8 Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin

4. For purposes of classification, no knowledge of process is imparted or implied, therefore a specific source (K waste) or non-specific source waste (F waste) is not determinable and no discussion of spills of listed wastes (U, P) is read in the assessment.

More knowledge of the processes entering the creek should be explored before determining that the soils, when excavated, would be non-listed.

CER 008427

⊕ •~~~

As indicated earlier, the high metal concentration would lead one to think the soils would be possibly classed as RCRA Hazardous - EP Toxic (See 40 CFR 261.24 for possible As, Ba, Cd, Cr, Pb, Hg and Ag).

This is based on the calculation of total concentration divided by a factor of 20. This approach has been accepted by IFFA in determining applicability of characteristic listing (D004-D017).

However, based on ground water analysis: it does not appear the metals are in a leachable form. This is evident based on the relatively low concentrations found in water.

6. Some of the sample locations indicate high concentration of PCB's (HOC's) and heavy metals which could potentially classify the soil as a California List Waste requiring incineration. The combination of hazardous waste (characteristic for metals) and HOC's > 1000 ppm would qualify as an incinerable - specifically PCB incineration (See 40 CFR 268.32 d.1 and 40 CFR 268.42 a.2).

Recommendations

- 1. Further classification via analysis and further background information is required for me to determine suitability for landfill disposal.
 - a. Run toxicity characteristic "TC" metals and run"TC" organics also. (The organics portion will be applicable 9/25/90 and if organic exceeds threshold value, then waste may be hazardous by characteristic.) See attachment.
 - b. Further investigate background of Dead Creek in order to classify under National Contingency Plan criteria.
- 2. The sampling for dioxins must be evaluated as to applicability to Corporate acceptance criteria of 1 ppb, 2, 3, 7, 8 TCDD. The fact that TCDD is present above detection limits raises my concerns. I must be assured, through sampling and analysis, that Corporate policies are not compromised.
- 3. If soils are considered non-regulated then they may be disposed of at Emelle as PCB waste. A comprehensive sampling and analysis plan should be developed in order to adequately classify waste soils upon removal.

 CER 008428

3



- 4. If determined to be EP toxic for metals and total HOC's do not exceed or equal 1000 ppm, Emelle may offer stabilization then landfill or the stabilization of metals may take place in-situ or via on-site process prior to shipment.
- 5. As you can see the LDR, BDAT, Drop Dead Dates questions all hinge on waste classification issues. Segregation may definitely be a possibility similar to a job happening in the eastern region where some material is suitable for landfill and other materials must go to incineration from the same job.
- 6. Pending restrictions are (1) TC rule for characteristic determination effective 9/25/90. (2) August 8, 1990 variance expiration for characteristic metal wastes treatment standards. (3) Out-of-state disposal tax effective 7/15/90. (4) Pessible classification as a smelting waste (Cerro Copper) i.e. K064.

nly an i

Overall aggessment of Dead Creek in perspective appears to be an ansite remediation project involving on site technologies such as XTRAX of PYROX.

I appreciate the opportunity to review the project and look forward to assisting you and Monsanto in any way I can.

/11b

Attachment

CER 008429

Table IV.1--Toxicity Characteristic Constituents and Regulatory Levels

EPA HV	Constituent	CAS Number	Regulatory Level (mg/L)
0004	Arsenic	7440-38-2	5.0
2005	Barius	7440-39-3	100.0
0018	Benzene	71-43-2	0.5
0006	Cadaius	7440-43-9	1.0
0019	Carbon tetrachioride	56-23-5	0.5
0020	Chlordane	57-74-9	0.03
0021	Chloropenzene	108-96-7	100.0
0022	Chlorofora	67-44-3	6.0
3007	Chrosius	7440-47-3	5.0
2023	o-Cresol	95-48-7	200.04
3024	R-CTRScl	108-39-4	200.04
0025	p-Cresol	106-44-5	200.0
0026	Cresol		200 .0*
0016	2,4-0	94-75-7	10.0
0027	1,4-Dichlerobenzene	106-45-7	7.5
0028	1,2-Dichloroethane	107-05-2	0.5
0029	1,1-Dichloroethylene	78-35-4	0.7
0030	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121-14-2	0.133
0012	Endrin	72-20-0	0.02
0031	Heptachlor (and its		
	hydroxide)	76-44-6	0.00
2032	Hexachlorobensene	118-74-1	0.13,
2033	Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene	87-48-3	0.5
3034	Mexachlorosthane	67-72-1	3.0 5. 0
0008	Look .	7439-42-1	0.4
0013	Lindane	58-49-9	0.2
D009	Hercury	7439-47-6	10.0
0014	Hetherychlor	72-43-5	200.0
D035	Hethyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	2.0
0036	Yitzebensene	98-45-3	100.0
0037	Pentachlerophenol	87-84-5	
0038	Pymidine	110-46-1	
0010	selenium	7782-49-2	
0011	SLIVES	7440-12-4	
0039	Tetrachloroethylene	127-18-4	
0015	Texaphene	79-01-4	·
D040 D041	Trichloroethylene 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	95-95-4	·
		7397377	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

- POM

178

Table IV.1 -- Toxicity Characteristic Constituents and Regulatory Levels (continues)

EPA HW	Constituen	-	CAS Number ²	Regulatory Level (mg/L)	
0042 0017 0043	2.4.6-Trichlo 2.4.5-TP (Sil Vinyl chlored	Vax)	88-06-2 93-72-1 75-01-4	2.0 1.0 0.2	

Hazardous vaste number.

CER 008431

Chemical abstracts service number.

Quantitation limit is greater than the calculated regulatory level. The quantitation limit therefore becomes the regulatory level.

If o.m-, and p-Cresol concentrations cannot be differentiated. the total cresol (0026) concentration is used. The regulatory level for total cresol is 200 mg/l.